



LEA Name	Entity ID #	CTDS #
LEA Primary Point of Contact	Email	Phone

Proposed Participating School(s)	Entity ID #	CTDS #	Budget Requested
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
		SUBTOTAL	\$
Total LEA-Level Proposed Budget			\$
			TOTAL ALL
			\$

LEA Application Administrative Completeness Review Checklist	
LEA Application Submitted by the Application Deadline	
FFATA / GSA Section Completed	
LEA Assurances Fully Completed	
LEA and Participating School(s) Contact Information Fully Completed	
Proposed Budget Completed	
Program Narrative Questions Completed	
LEA Application Checklist Reviewed with No Deficiencies	



LEA Application Scoring Summary

Total Possible Points	Points Earned	Competitive Preference Priorities
20		LEA received an award for the state School Safety Program (SSP) grant, but was unable to hire an SRO
10		LEA has high reported local school community crime rate / conditions data
5		LEA is considered a 'Small LEA', with a total K-12 enrollment of 600 students or less
5		LEA is defined as 'Rural' by the State, per ARS §15-249.13
10		LEA is considered a 'Rural and Remote' LEA (all schools in the LEA are defined as Rural Fringe, Distant or Remote (NCES Local Code 41, 42, or 43)
Total Points		Competitive Preference Priorities

Total Possible Points	Points Earned	Application Requirements
15		Quality of the Project Budget
30		Quality of LEA and School Level School Safety Plan
25		Quality of Community-Based Partnerships to Support Local Implementation
30		Severity of Local School Community Crime Rate / Conditions
100		Application Requirements

Scorer Name	Total Points Available	Total Points Earned	Date	Initials



LEA Application Requirements Scoring Rubric

Table with 4 columns: Quality of the Project Budget (15 Points), 0-5, 6-10, 11-15, and Total Points. The table details scoring criteria for budget quality across three performance levels.



	0-10	11-20	21-30	
Quality of LEA and School Level School Safety Plan (30 Points)	LEA response is vague and unclear LEA response does not include information on behalf of all LEA proposed participating schools	LEA plan is clear with generally sufficient details provided for both the LEA and all proposed participating schools	LEA plan is clear and detailed for both the LEA and all proposed participating schools	
				Total Points

	0-8	9-17	18-25	
Quality of Community-Based Partnerships to Support Local Implementation (25 Points)	LEA response is vague and unclear	LEA response includes general details outlining relevant local community-based partnerships Response is not fully clear on how partnerships would be leveraged to support the implementation of their School Safety plan	LEA plan is clear and detailed and includes information on how both existing and potential new partnerships would be leveraged to support the implementation of their School Safety plan	
				Total Points



Table with 4 columns: Severity of Local School Community Crime Rate / Conditions (30 Points), 0-10, 11-20, 21-30, and Total Points. The table contains descriptive text for each score range and a total points cell.